APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

	DEDODE COLERT PERON	DAME FOR ADDROVED	TITITATATATATATATA	DEFECT AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY	(TD) ACTION ACA
Α.	REPORT COMPLETION	DATE FOR APPROVED	JURISDICTIONAL	DETERMINATION	(JD): 29 NOV 2021

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER:SWT-2020-0
--

c.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: State:OK County/parish/borough: Creek City: Sapulpa Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 36.019444° N, Long96.040556° W. Universal Transverse Mercator: 14S 766670.25m E, 3990182.02m N Name of nearest waterbody: Polecat Creek Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) Into which the aquatic resource flows: Arkansas River Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Polecat Creek, 11110101 Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request. Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): ☐ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: 29 NOV 2021 ☐ Field Determination. Date(s):
SE	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS
A.	RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
rev	ere Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the iew area. [Required] Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain: CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
D.	CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
The	ere Are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]
	1. Waters of the U.S. a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): TNWs, including territorial seas Wetlands adjacent to TNWs Relatively permanent waters ² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Impoundments of jurisdictional waters Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
	b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: 5,880 linear feet: 15 width (ft) and/or 2.02 acres. Wetlands: 2.47 acres.
	c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Established by OHWM. Elevation of established OHWM (if known):
	 Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):³ Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional.

Explain: The on-site ponds (P1, P2, P3, P4, P5, P6, P7, P8, P9, P10, P11, P12, P13, P14, P15, P16, P17, P18, P19, P20, P21, P22, P23, P24, P25, P26, P26, P27, P28, P29, P30, P31, P32), and on-site ditches (S2, S3, S4, S5) have been determined to not be jurisdictional pursuant to Section 404 of the Clean Water Act based on the rational documented

in Section IV, B, below.

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW: Arkansas River.

Summarize rationale supporting determination: Arkansas River has been determined to be a traditionally navigable water due to the interstate commerce use at the Port of Muskogee.

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions:

Watershed size: 331.53 square miles Drainage area: 331.53 acres Average annual rainfall: 37.5 inches Average annual snowfall: 6 inches

(ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a)	Relation	ship	with	TNW:

☐ Tributary flows directly into TNW.

☑ Tributary flows through 2 tributaries before entering TNW.

Project waters are 30 (or more) river miles from TNW.

Project waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW.

Project waters are 30 (or more) aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: No the water does not serve or cross state boundaries.

Identify flow route to TNW⁵: Polecat Creek flows into the Arknsas River, a TNW.

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

	Tributary stream order, if known: .
(b)	General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply): Tributary is: ☐ Natural ☐ Artificial (man-made). Explain: ☐ Manipulated (man-altered). Explain:
	Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Average width: 15 feet Average depth: 5 feet Average side slopes: 2:1.
	Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply): Silts Sands Concrete Cobbles Gravel Muck Bedrock Vegetation. Type/% cover: Cother. Explain:
	Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: Tributary contains riffle/pool complexes. Tributary geometry: Meandering Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): 2 %
. ,	Flow: Tributary provides for: Intermittent but not seasonal flow Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: 2-5 Describe flow regime: S1 is mapped as a perennial stream. S1 has been identified as containing water in all years of aphy. Therefore, S1 has been determined to contain perennial flow. Other information on duration and volume:
	Surface flow is: Confined. Characteristics: S1 flow is confined within the banks.
	Subsurface flow: No. Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed:
	Tributary has (check all that apply): Bed and banks OHWM ⁶ (check all indicators that apply): clear, natural line impressed on the bank changes in the character of soil shelving vegetation matted down, bent, or absent leaf litter disturbed or washed away sediment deposition water staining other (list): Discontinuous OHWM. Explain:
	If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): High Tide Line indicated by: Oil or scum line along shore objects Fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) Physical markings/characteristics Hidal gauges Other (list): Mean High Water Mark indicated by: Survey to available datum; Physical markings; Vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.
Cha	emical Characteristics: racterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain: S1 has relatively clear water with no evidence of pollution. ntify specific pollutants, if known:

⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

⁷Ibid.

	(iv)		ogical Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply): Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): 50 feet wide. Wetland fringe. Characteristics: Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
riparian o	corri	dor ar	Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: S1 is a well-established stream with many areas of well-developed and daylighting. The conditions exhibited along S1 indicates a diverse habitat for organisms.
2.	Cha	aract	eristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
	(i)		sical Characteristics: General Wetland Characteristics: Properties:
loca	ated v	within	Wetland size:2.47acres Wetland type. Explain: Emergent wetland. Wetland quality. Explain:W1 and W2 are emergent wetlands within the floodplain of Polecat Creek. W1 and W2 are a former golf course and have been altered. Therefore, W1 and W2 have been determined to be of fair quality. Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: W1 and W2 do not serve as or cross a state boundary.
		(b)	General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Ephemeral flow . Explain: W1 is connected to Polecat Creek through a ditch, which exhibits ephmeral flow.
			Surface flow is: Confined Characteristics: W1 is connected through a well defined ditch.
			Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed:
		(c)	Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: ☐ Directly abutting ☐ Not directly abutting
and	W2	are lo	 ☑ Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: W1 is directly connected to Polecat Creek through a ditch. W1 cated within the floodplain of Polecat Creek. ☑ Ecological connection. Explain: W1 and W2 are wthin the floodplain of Polecat Creek and within the riparian
zon	e of l	Poleca	at Creek. Separated by berm/barrier. Explain: W2 is separated from Polecat Creek by a natural berm and is within the
100)-yea	r floo	dplain.
		(d)	Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are 30 (or more) river miles from TNW. Project waters are 30 (or more) aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: Wetland to navigable waters Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the 100 - 500-yearfloodplain.
	(ii)	Cha	emical Characteristics: racterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: W1 and W2 contains clear water, which provides filtration and gas exchange benefiting the downstream water. ratify specific pollutants, if known: No pollutants are known.
	(iii		ogical Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):
			Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):200 LF. Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Sish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
			☐ Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: ☐ Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: The presence of a riparian zone supports the life cycles of aquatic and
wildlife s	speci	es an	d promotes diversity of these organisms.

Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any) All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: 2

Approximately (2.47) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

For each wetland, specify the following:

Directly ab	outs?(Y/N)	Size (in acres)	Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)
N	2.27			
N	.20			

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: W1 and W2 are located within the 100 year floodplain, within a riparian area, and with a direct, confied hydrologic connection to Polecat Creek. W1 and W2 provide gas exchange, biological habitiat, and water conveyance to the downstream waters and to the TNW.

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D: W1 and W2 are within the riparian area and 100-year floodplain of Polecat Creek, which is a perennial stream, flowing directly into a TNW. Therefore, W1 and W2 have been determined to provide gas exchange, water filtration, and habitat for multiple organisms, which results in a significant nexus to the downstream waters, including the TNW.

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area: TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres. Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
2.	 RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. □ Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: □ Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows

seasonally: S1 is a named (Polecat Creek), mapped, perennial stream. All available aerial imagery indicates S1 contians flowing water. Based on the submitted delineation report, USGS topographic map, and aerial photography, S1 has been determined to be a perennial stream and an RPW, which flows directly into the Arkansas River, an TNW.

	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: 5,880 linear feet 15 width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: .
3.	Non-RPWs ⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: .
4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: 9.50 acres.
5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: 2.47 acres.
6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
7.	Impoundments of jurisdictional waters. As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional. Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
DE0	PLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, GRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY CH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 10 which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.

E.

⁸See Footnote # 3.

⁹ To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

¹⁰ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA *Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos*.

	from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Interstate isolated waters. Explain: Other factors. Explain:
Ide	entify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:
	ovide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: Wetlands: acres.
□ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	ON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Other: (explain, if not covered above): The on-site ponds (P1, P2, P3, P4, P5, P6, P7, P8, P9, P10, P11, P12, P13, P14, P15, P16, 8, P19, P20, P21, P22, P23, P24, P25, P26, P26, P27, P28, P29, P30, P31, P32), and on-site ditches (S2, S3, S4, S5) have been ned to not be jurisdictional pursuant to Section 404 of the Clean Water Act based on the rational documented in Section IV, v.
fac jud 	Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: acres. ovide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such anding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:
SECTIO	Wetlands: acres. DN IV: DATA SOURCES.
	☐ USGS NHD data. ☐ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 7.5 Minute USGS Topographic Map, 1:24,000, Sapulpa, OK. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. ation:https://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov/App/WebSoilSurvey.aspx. National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:http://www.fws.gov/wetlands/data/Mapper.html.

or Other (Name & Date):	
Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:	
Applicable/supporting case law: .	
Applicable/supporting scientific literature: .	
Other information (please specify):	

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:

Water Act.

P1, P2, and portions of P32 are mapped on the USGS topographic map as ponds. P1, P2, and P32 are located in a historically upland area, which was used for the construction of a golf course, including the construction of ditches and ponds. Based on the submitted delineation report, USGS topographic map, and aerial photography, P1, P2, and P32 have been determined to be artificial ponds constructed in the uplands.

P3, P4, P5, P6, P7, P8, P9, P10, P11, P12, P13, P14, P15, P16, P18, P19, P20, P21, P22, and P23 are not mapped on the USGS to pographic map. P2, P3, P4, P5, P6, P7, P8, P9, P10, P11, P12, P13, P14, P15, P16, P18, P19, P20, P21, P22, P23, P24, P25, P26, P26, P26, P27, P28, P29, P30, P31, P32 are located in a historically upland area, which was used for the construction of a golf course, including the construction of ditches and ponds. Based on the submitted delineation report, USGS topographic map, and aerial photography, P2, P3, P4, P5, P6, P7, P8, P9, P10, P11, P12, P13, P14, P15, P16, P18, P19, P20, P21, P22, P23, P24, P25, P26, P26, P27, P28, P29, P30, P31, P32 have be en determined to be artificial ponds constructed in the uplands

S2, S3, S4, and S5 are not mapped on the USGS topographic map. S2, S3, S4, and S5 are located in a historically upland area, which was used for the construction of a golf course, including the construction of ditches and ponds. Based on the submitted delineation report, USGS topographic map, and aerial photography, S2, S3, S4, and S5 have been determined to be ditches constructed in the uplands. Therefore, the on-site ponds (P1, P2, P3, P4, P5, P6, P7, P8, P9, P10, P11, P12, P13, P14, P15, P16, P17, P18, P19, P20, P21, P22, P23, P24, P25, P26, P27, P28, P29, P30, P31, P32) and on-site ditches (S2, S3, S4, S5) are not jurisdictional pursuant to Section 404 of the Clean

S1 is mapped as a perennial stream. S1 has been identified as containing water in all years of aerial photography and determined to contain perennial flow.

Portions of W2 is mapped on the USGS topographic map and is directly connected to Polecat Creek through a ditch, which flows after rain events. W2 is separated from Polecat Creek and W1 by a berm but is within the 100-year floodplain. W1 and W2 have been determined to be adjacent wetlands with a significant nexus to downstream waters, including the TNW. Therefore, S1, W1, and W2 are jurisdictional pursuant to Section 404 of the Clean Water Act.